Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 6297 Case file # 95-249356.

Material Examiner: Malone (RO0

Remarks:

Case resulted in a guilty plea, no testimony transcript.

Independent Review conducted by:		Cary T. Oien				•
		•				<i>:</i>
Area(s) of Expertise:	Wood		-			
Review commenced at:	9:07 am	(Time),	5/22/03	(Dat	e)	
File #: 95-249356						
Laboratory #(s): 205	505036 S RQ					·
		Examiner(s	s) & Symbo	ls		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Reviewed	Not Reviewed			Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	. X □					
						. 🗖
						· 🗖
		·			·	ι
Trial testimony transcrip			s Reviewed			
Trial testimony transcrip Testimony Date	pt(s) of:	Materials	Reviewed Pages:			
Testimony Date	pt(s) of:	Materials			·	
Testimony Date	pt(s) of: re(s):	Materials		Date:	June 9, 1982	
Testimony Date aboratory Report(s):	pt(s) of: re(s): nber:2050	Materials		Date:		
Testimony Date aboratory Report(s): Laboratory Num	pt(s) of: e(s): nber: 2050	Materials		_		
Testimony Date aboratory Report(s): Laboratory Num Laboratory Num Laboratory Num	pt(s) of:	Materials		— Date:		
Testimony Date aboratory Report(s): Laboratory Num Laboratory Num Laboratory Num	pt(s) of:	Materials		— Date:		
Laboratory Num Laboratory Num Laboratory Num Laboratory Num Examiner Bench Notes of	pt(s) of:	Materials N/A 05036 S RQ		— Date:		
Testimony Date aboratory Report(s): Laboratory Num Laboratory Num Laboratory Num Examiner Bench Notes of	pt(s) of:	Materials N/A 05036 S RQ		— Date:		
Testimony Date aboratory Report(s): Laboratory Num Laboratory Num Laboratory Num Examiner Bench Notes of	pt(s) of:	Materials N/A 05036 S RQ		— Date:		
Testimony Date aboratory Report(s): Laboratory Num Laboratory Num Laboratory Num Examiner Bench Notes of	pt(s) of:	Material: 05036 S RQ	Pages:	— Date:		

If yes,	please identify and/or des	scribe the material:			
					i
					·
			<u> </u>	-33	
		Results of R	eview		:
					;
File #:	95-249356	Item or Specimen #	Reviewed: —	Q1 and K	.1
					1
	Revi	ew of Laboratory Report	(s) and Rench	Notes.	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,
		Numbered comments are re iges for any "No" or "Unabl	-		ıses
)	Did the examiner perform	m the appropriate tests in a sc	ientifically acce	ptable ma	nner, based on the
•	-	analytic techniques available a	it the time of th	ie origina	d examination(s)?
		□ Yes	X□N₀ □U	nable to 1	Determine
2)	Are the evenination resu	1			
	VIC TIC CYAITETIATION ICON	ilts set forth in the laboratory i	eport(s) suppor	ted and ac	dequately documented in
	the bench notes?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	report(s) suppor X □ No □ U		dequately documented in Determine
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	X D No D U		
	the bench notes?	□ Yes Review of Testi	X□No□U mony:	nable to I	
	the bench notes?	□ Yes	Mony:	nable to I	Determine
	the bench notes?	Review of Testi	Mony:	nable to I	Determine
	the bench notes?	Review of Testi	Mony:	nable to I	Determine
(□ Tran	Note: additional pa	Review of Testi	Mony:	nable to I	Determine
(□ Tran	Note: additional paracript not available. mony consistent with the	Review of Testi	Mony: equired below ele to Determine	nable to I	nses Unable to Determine
(□ Tran	Note: additional pa	Review of Testi	Mony: equired below ele to Determine Yes	or on	nses
(□ Tran	Note: additional paracript not available. mony consistent with the	Review of Testics Numbered comments are reages for any "No" or "Unable laboratory report(s)? bench notes?	Mony: equired below ele to Determine	nable to I	nses Unable to Determine
(□ Tran	Note: additional paracript not available. mony consistent with the mony consistent with the	Review of Testics Numbered comments are reages for any "No" or "Unable laboratory report(s)? bench notes?	Mony: equired below ele to Determine Yes	or on e" Respon	Determine Unable to Determine Unable to Determine
(□ Tran	Note: additional paracript not available. mony consistent with the mony consistent with the	Review of Testics Numbered comments are reages for any "No" or "Unable laboratory report(s)? bench notes?	Mony: equired below ele to Determine Yes	or on e" Respon	Determine Unable to Determine Unable to Determine
(□ Tran	Note: additional paracript not available. mony consistent with the mony consistent with the	Review of Testics Numbered comments are reages for any "No" or "Unable laboratory report(s)? bench notes?	Mony: equired below ele to Determine Yes	or on e" Respon	Determine Unable to Determine Unable to Determine
(□ Tran	Note: additional paracript not available. mony consistent with the mony consistent with the	Review of Testics Numbered comments are reages for any "No" or "Unable laboratory report(s)? bench notes?	Mony: equired below ele to Determine Yes	or on e" Respon	Determine Unable to Determine Unable to Determine
(□ Tran	Note: additional paracript not available. mony consistent with the mony consistent with the	Review of Testics Numbered comments are reages for any "No" or "Unable laboratory report(s)? bench notes?	Mony: equired below ele to Determine Yes	or on e" Respon	Determine Unable to Determine Unable to Determine
(□ Tran	Note: additional paracript not available. mony consistent with the mony consistent with the	Review of Testics Numbered comments are reages for any "No" or "Unable laboratory report(s)? bench notes?	Mony: equired below ele to Determine Yes	or on e" Respon	Determine Unable to Determine Unable to Determine
⟨□ Trans () Testi () Testi	Note: additional paracript not available. mony consistent with the mony consistent with the	Review of Testics Numbered comments are reages for any "No" or "Unab laboratory report(s)? bench notes? xaminer's expertise?	Mony: equired below ele to Determine Yes	or on e" Respon	Determine Unable to Determine Unable to Determine
(□ Tran	Note: additional paracript not available. mony consistent with the mony consistent with the	Review of Testics Numbered comments are reages for any "No" or "Unab laboratory report(s)? bench notes? xaminer's expertise?	Mony: equired below ele to Determine Yes Yes Yes	or on e" Respon	Determine Unable to Determine Unable to Determine

.

•

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable. Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

1. The comparison and identification of bark is not a scientifically valid analysis. The identification of the K sample may have been possible, as both vines and leaves were present in the sample. However, the QI sample consisted only of bark (based on the notes), and an identification of the species of wood is not valid using only bark. It seems the comparison was based on "all observable characteristics." as referenced in the report. It is my opinion that this was not a valid comparison. 2. There are no notes regarding the microscopic characteristics of specimen KI, and the only macroscopic characteristic cited is color. A copy of reference material is included in the notes taken from "Selected Weeds the United States". The notes do not provide any information that allow the identification to be made. For specimen QI, the only notes refer to the color of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the provided provided in the notes of the stated association of the provided provided in the color of the bark is not sufficient to make the stated association of the provided provided in the notes that the results of my review for the provided provided in the notes of the stated association of the provided provided in the notes of the provided provided in the notes that the results of my review for the provided provi	File #: 95-2	9356			:
characteristic cited is color. A copy of reference material is included in the notes taken from "Selected Weeds the United States". The notes do not provide any information that allow the identification to be made. For specimen Q1, the only notes refer to the color of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association and the stated association are sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the stated association of the	sample may have consisted only of park. It seems th	been possible, as both vines and loark (based on the notes), and an comparison was based on "all	leaves were present in the identification of the speci	sample. However, ies of wood is not ver	the Q1 sample alid using only
cotal time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 60 minutes ereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my reviefully documented on this report consisting of a total of	haracteristic cite he United States'	l is color. A copy of reference m The notes do not provide any ir	aterial is included in the nation that allow the	otes taken from "Se identification to be	elected Weeds o
otal time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 60 minutes ereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my reviefully documented on this report consisting of a total of					:
rotal time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 60 minutes ereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review fully documented on this report consisting of a total of				•	
rotal time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 60 minutes ereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review fully documented on this report consisting of a total of					:
rotal time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 60 minutes ereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review fully documented on this report consisting of a total of			•		
rotal time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 60 minutes ereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review fully documented on this report consisting of a total of					
otal time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 60 minutes ereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my reviefully documented on this report consisting of a total of					
otal time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 60 minutes ereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my revie fully documented on this report consisting of a total of3 pages. 5/22/03 (Signature) (Date)			-		_
otal time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 60 minutes ereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my reviefully documented on this report consisting of a total of					;
otal time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 60 minutes ereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my reviefully documented on this report consisting of a total of					<i>i</i>
otal time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 60 minutes ereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review fully documented on this report consisting of a total of3 pages. 5/22/03 (Signature) (Date)			•		; ;
ereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review fully documented on this report consisting of a total of	teview complete	at: 10:04 (Time),	5/22 /03	(Date)	į
ereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review fully documented on this report consisting of a total of	otal time spent c	inducting review (to nearest 1/4 h	nour): 60 minutes		;
fully documented on this report consisting of a total of3 pages. 5/22/03 (Signature) (Date)	our mily spont	7000-19 00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			;
fully documented on this report consisting of a total of3 pages. 5/22/03 (Signature) (Date)	araby partify that	I conducted this services in an ind	enendent unbiased mann	er and that the recul	te of my raviau
(Signature) (Date)	•		·		or my leview
(Signature) (Date)					
(Signature) (Date)	•				5/22/03
Page 3 of 3			(Signature)		(Date)
Page 3 of 3					
Page 3 of 3					<u>;</u>
Page 3 of 3					:
Page 3 of 3					•
Page 3 of 3					t
·.		Page	3 of 3		r
					•.